

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,700	09/25/2003	Eduard K. de Jong	SUN040023	9228
	7590 12/20/2000 ICKAY & HODGSON	EXAMINER		
1900 GARDEN ROAD SUITE 220 MONTEREY, CA 93940			SHAN, APRIL YING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2135	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,700	DE JONG, EDUARD K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	April Y. Shan	2135
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provision: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl: Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. Itatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition	ed on <u>25 September 2003</u> . 2b)⊡ This action is non-final. If for allowance except for formal matt ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from consideration.	
· ·	o Evaminor	
	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to lection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/672,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, 16-22, 31-37 and 46-52 are drawn to method/apparatus/computer program for executing an obfuscated application program, classified in class 713, subclass 190.
 - II. Claims 8-15, 23-30, 38-45 and 53-60 are drawn to method/apparatus/computer program for creating an opcode value encoding scheme for an instruction set, classified in class 380, subclass 28.
 - III. Claims 61-63 are drawn to a memory for storing data for access by application program, classified in class 711, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as executing an obfuscated application program. Subcombination II has separate utility such as creating an opcode value encoding scheme. Subcombination III has separate utility such as a memory for storing data for access by application program. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,700

Art Unit: 2135

Page 3

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,700

Art Unit: 2135

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/672,700 Page 5

Art Unit: 2135

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April Y. Shan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

6 December 2006

AYS

SUPPRINTED AT END PAYATHING TECHNOLOGY WENTER 21